- 18 [...] x-ma ummāni meš ma-du-tu
- 19 [...a]bul uruRug-di-ni
- $20 \ [\dots] \times id\bar{u}k$ -šú
- 21 [...] x qu
- $22 \ [\dots um] m \tilde{a} n i^{ ext{mes}}$

#### Lacuna

ii

- 1 [id]-[ke]-e-ma ana muhhi  ${}^{m}Ku$ -raš  $\check{s}\grave{a}r$  An- $\check{s}\acute{a}$ -an ana ka- $\check{s}[\acute{a}$ -di i]l-lik-ma [...]
- 2 mIš-tu-me-gu ummāni-šú ibbalkit-su-ma ina qātē<sup>II</sup> ṣa-bít a-na mKu-raš it-x[...]
- 3 mKu-raš a-na kurA-gam-ta-nu āl šarru-útu ⟨il-lik-ma⟩ kaspa hurāṣa būša makkūra [...]
- 4 šá kur A-gam-ta-nu iš-lul-ú-ma a-na kur Anšá-an il-qí būša makkūra šá ummāni m[eš ...]
- 5 MU VIIkám šarru ina uruTe-ma-a mār šarri lúrabûtimeš-šú ummānimeš-šú ina kurAkz kadîki [šarru ana itiNisanni]
- 6 a-na Bābili<sub>5</sub>ki úl illiku<sup>ku</sup> dNabû ana Bāz bili<sup>ki</sup> úl illiku<sup>ku</sup> dBēl úl ūṣâa isin[nu aki-tú ba-țil]
- 7 niqû(siskur.siskur) ina É-sag-gíl u Ézi-da ilāni<sup>meš</sup> ša Bābìli<sup>ki</sup> u Barsip<sup>ki</sup> k[i šal-mu]

- $18 [\ldots] \ldots$  the large army
- 19 [... the g]ate of Ruddini
- 20 [...] ... he killed/defeated him
- 21 [...] ...
- 22 [...] army

### Lacuna

ii

- 1 (Astyages) mustered (his army) and marched against Cyrus (II), king of Anshan, for conquest [...]
- 2 The army rebelled against Astyages and he was taken prisoner. Th[ey handed him over] to Cyrus (II). ([...])
- 3 Cyrus (II) <marched> to Ecbatana, the royal city. The silver, gold, goods, property, [...]
- 4 which he carried off as booty (from) Ecbatana he took to Anshan. The goods (and) property of the army of [...]
- 5 The seventh year: The king (was) in Tema (while) the prince, his officers, (and) his army (were) in Akkad. [The king]
- 6 did not come to Babylon
- 5 [in the month Nisan].
- 6 Nabu did not come to Babylon. Bel did not come out. The [Akitu festiv]al [did not take place].
- 7 The offerings
- 8 were presented
- 7 (to) the gods of Babylon and Borsippa a[s in normal times] in Esagil and Ezida.

#### COMMENTARY

- ii 1 ana ka-š[á-di i]l-lik-ma: For the restoration see Chron. 21 ii 7, 4', 9'; Chron. 22 iii 13, 20.
- ii 2 A possible restoration at the end of the line would be some form of the verb  $nad\bar{a}nu$  (e.g. it-t[a-di-nu]).
- ii 3 kur A-gam-ta-nu: There was both the city Agamtānu (Ecbatana) and the surrounding district called by the same name. The city is referred to here and the kur must be a mistake for uru. Or has uru been omitted before kur? Cf. uru kur A-ga-ma-ta-nu Cyrus 60:16. Also note uru A-ga-ma-ta-nu VAB 3, p. 39:60 (Dar. I). After āl šarru-ú-tu one expects illik "he went". The

scribe has mistakenly omitted the verb. Cf. Oppenheim, ANET<sup>2</sup> p. 305.

- ii 5-8 The same passage, with minor variations, occurs in ii 10-12, 19-21, 23-25. The only phrase not found in the parallel passages is: urigallû is-ruq-ma bīta ip-qid. Also cf. iii 8. Further, note the similar statements in Chron. 17 iii 5f., 8f., 14, 15.
- ii 5 mār šarri: Smith mistakenly omits mār in his transliteration.
- ii 6 úl illiku<sup>ku</sup>: See the note to Chron. 17 iii 5 f.
- ii 7 The interpretation offered here of  $k\hat{i}$   $\delta alm\bar{u}$  is tentative since the meaning is uncertain. The phrase also appears in Chron. 17 ii 4.

- 8  $nadn\bar{u}^{nu}$   $urigall\hat{u}$  is-ruq-ma  $b\bar{u}$  ip-qid  $([\dots])$
- 9 MU VIII<sup>kám</sup>
  (Blank space of one line)
- 10 MU IXkám md Nábû-nā'id šarru (ina) uru Te-ma-a mār šarri lúrabûti meš u um: mānini ina kur Akkadîki šarru ana iti Ni: sanni ana Bābìliki
- 11 úl illiku<sup>ku</sup> dNabû ana  $B\bar{a}bili^{ki}$  úl illiku<sup>ku</sup> d $B\bar{e}l$  úl  $\bar{u}$ sâ $^a$  i-sin-nu a-ki-tú ba-ţil
- 12 niqû(siskur.siskur)<sup>meš</sup> ina É-sag-gíl u É-zi-da ilāni<sup>meš</sup> ša 〈Bābìli<sup>ki</sup>〉 u Bar-sip<sup>ki</sup> ki šal-mu nadna<sup>na</sup>
- 13 <sup>iti</sup>Nisannu ud v<sup>kám</sup> ummu šarri ina Dūrka-ra-šú šá aḥ(gú) <sup>id</sup>Puratti e-la-nu Sippar<sup>ki</sup>
- 14 im-tu-ut mār šarri u ummāni<sup>meš</sup>-šú III ūmu<sup>mu</sup> šu-du-ru bikītu(ér) šaknat<sup>at</sup> ina <sup>iti</sup>Simāni ina kurAkkadî<sup>ki</sup>
- 15 bi-ki-tú ina muḥḥi ummi šarri šaknat<sup>at</sup> ina <sup>iti</sup>Nisanni <sup>m</sup>Ku-raš šàr <sup>kur</sup>Par-su ummānišú id-ke-e-[m]a
- 16 šap-la-an uruAr-ba-'-il idIdiqlat i-bir-ma ina itiAiiari ana kur[Lu]-u[d-di(?) . . . ]†
- 17 šarra-šú idūk bu-šá-a-šú il-qí šu-lit šá ram-ni-šú «AŠ» lu ú-še-li [...]

- 8 The *urigallû*-priest made a libation and inspected the temple. ([...])
- 9 The eighth year: (Blank space)
- 10 The ninth year: Nabonidus, the king, (was) (in) Tema (while) the prince, the officers, (and) the army (were) in Akkad. The king
- 11 did not come
- 10 to Babylon in the month Nisan.
- 11 Nabu did not come to Babylon. Bel did not come out. The Akitu festival did not take place.
- 12 The offerings were presented (to) the gods of 〈Babylon〉 and Borsippa as in normal times in Esagil and Ezida.
- 13 On the fifth day of the month Nisan the queen mother
- 14 died
- 13 in Dur-karashu which (is on) the bank of the Euphrates upstream from Sippar.
- 14 The prince and his army were in mourning for three days (and) there was (an official) mourning period. In the month Sivan
- 15 there was (an official) mourning period for the queen mother
- 14 in Akkad.
- 15 In the month Nisan Cyrus (II), king of Parsu, mustered his army and
- 16 crossed the Tigris below Arbail. In the month Iyyar [he marched] to Ly[dia].†
- 17 He defeated its king, took its possessions, (and) stationed his own garrison (there) [...]

### COMMENTARY

- ii 8 The last part of the line is broken but from the parallels it is apparent that nothing is missing.
- ii 9 This space was probably left blank because the scribe did not have information about the eighth year at hand. He no doubt planned to fill in the information later when he had found out from some other source what had occurred in the eighth year. For some reason he never did this. Cf. the examples of omitted numerals discussed in the note to Chron. 1 i 25.
- ii 10-12 See the parallel passage ii 5-8 and the notes to those lines.
- ii 10 The scribe mistakenly omitted ina.
- ii 12 The scribe mistakenly omitted Bābìliki
- ii 13-15 See the commentary to Chron. 1 iv 22.
- ii 16 *i-bir-ma*: The sign is definitely BIR, not RAB (as Smith mistakenly copied).
- The traces support a reading L[u] and thus Smith's suggested restoration has been adopted.
- ii 17 «Aš»: A scribal error.

- 18 [arki] šu-lit-su ù šar-ri ina libbi ibaš:  $\check{s}i(g\acute{a}1)\check{s}i$  ([...])
- 19 MU Xkám šarru ina uruTe-ma mār šarri lú $rab\hat{u}ti$ meš u  $ummar{a}ni^{ni}$ -š $\acute{u}$  ina  $^{\mathrm{kur}}Akkad\hat{\imath}^{\mathrm{ki}}$ šarru ana [itiNisanni ana Bābìliki úl  $illiku^{ku}$

20 d $Nab\hat{u}$  ana  $B\bar{a}bili_5^{\mathrm{ki}}$  úl illiku $^{\mathrm{k}u}$  d $B\bar{e}l$  úl ūṣâa isinnu a-ki-tú ba-ṭil niqû(siskur. siskur) ina [É]-[sag-gil u É-zi-da]

21 ilāni<sup>meš</sup> ša Bābili<sup>ki</sup> u Bar-sip<sup>ki</sup> ki šalm[u na]dna[na] ina itiSimāni ud xxika[m

- 22 šá kurE-lam-mi-ia ina kurAkkadîki x x [...] [lú(?)] šakin māti ina  $Uruk^{\mathbf{k}}[^{\mathbf{l}}$  ...]
- 23 MU XI<sup>kám</sup> šarru ina uruTe-ma-a mār šarri lú $rab\hat{u}ti$ meš u  $umm\bar{a}ni$ -š $\hat{u}$  ina  ${}^{\mathrm{kur}}Akka[d\hat{v}^{\mathrm{ki}}]$ šarru ana itiNisanni ana Bābìliki úl  $illiku^{ku}$

24 [d $Nab\hat{u}$  ana  $B\bar{a}b$ ] $ili_5$ [ki] úl illiku d $B\bar{e}l$  úl  $\vec{u}$ ş $\hat{a}^a$  isinnu a-ki-tu ba-til  $ni[q\hat{u}(siskur)]$ siskur) ina É-sag-gil u É-zi-da]

25 [ $il\bar{a}ni^{\mathrm{meš}}$  ša  $B\bar{a}b$ ] $ili^{\mathrm{ki}}$  u [Bar-sip][ $^{\mathrm{ki}}$  ki $\check{s}al$ -m]u  $nadna^{na}[\ldots]$ 

# Lacuna

iii

### Lacuna

- 1 [...] GAZ(?) idx[...]
- $2 \ [\ldots]$  še dIštar(mùš)  $Uruk^{ki} \ [\ldots]$
- $3 \ [\dots] \ \mathbf{x}^{\text{meš}} \ \check{s} \acute{a} \ \check{m} \check{a} t \ ta[m-tim(?) \ \dots] \dagger$
- 4  $[\ldots]^{\text{meš}}$  ni  $[\ldots]$

- 18 Afterwards the king and his garrison was in it ([...])
- 19 The tenth year: The king (was) in Tema (while) the prince, the officers, and his army (were) in Akkad. The king [did not come to Babylon in the month Nisan].

20 Nabu did not come to Babylon. Bel did not come out. The Akitu festival did not take place. The offerings

21 were presented (to) the gods of Babylon and Borsippa as in normal times

20 in E[sagil and Ezida].

21 On the twenty-first day of the month Sivan [...]

22 of Elammya in Akkad ... [...] the district governor in Uru[k . . . ]

23 The eleventh year: the king (was) in Tema (while) the prince, the officers, and his army (were) in Akkad. [The king did not come to Babylon in the month Nisan].

24 [Nabu] did not come [to Bab]ylon. Bel did not come out. The Akitu festival did not take place. The of[ferings]

were presented [(to) the gods of Bab]ylon and Borsippa [as in normal times

24 in Esagil and Ezida].

### Lacuna

iii

## Lacuna

- 1 [...] killed/defeated. The river ... [...]
- $2 \ [\dots] \dots$  Ishtar Uruk  $[\dots]$
- 3 [...] of the Sea[land ...]†
- 4 [...] ... [...]

# COMMENTARY

- ii 18 The last part of the line is broken but there is probably nothing missing. Cf. the note to ii 8.
- ii 19-21 See the notes to ii 5-8. In order to reproduce the full phrase as found in the parallel passages the scribe would have written a great deal on the edge at the end of ii 19. Perhaps part of the phrase was omitted. The same comment applies to ii 23.
- ii 22 The sign preceding šakin māti looks very much like Lú and thus it could be a determinative (so Smith).
- ii 23-25 See the notes to ii 5-8 and ii 19-21.
- iii 1 GAZ is practically certain. After it one might read idI[diqlat] (so Smith).
- iii 3 māt ta[m-tim(?)]: The traces of the sign after  $m\bar{a}t$  are almost certainly of the sign TAM.

Chronicle 7

- $\check{s}i(g\acute{a}1)\check{s}i$  ([...])
- 19 MU Xkám šarru ina uruTe-ma mār šarri lúrabûtimeš u ummā $ni^{ni}$ -šú ina kurAkkadiki šarru ana [itiNisanni ana Bābìliki úl  $illiku^{ku}$

 $20~{\rm d}Nab\hat{u}$ ana  $B\bar{a}bili_5{}^{{
m ki}}$  úl illiku ${}^{{
m ku}}$   ${\rm d}B\bar{e}l$  úl ūṣâa isinnu a-ki-tú ba-ṭil niqû(siskur. siskur) ina [É]-[sag-gíl u É-zi-da]

- 21 ilānimeš ša Bābiliki u Bar-sipki ki šalm[u na]dna[na] ina itiSimāni ud XXIká[m ...]
- 22 šá kurE-lam-mi-ia ina kurAkkadiki x x  $[\dots]$  [1 $\dot{\mathbf{u}}$ (?)] šakin māti ina  $Uruk^{\mathbf{k}}[\dot{\mathbf{u}}]$
- 23 MU XIkam šarru ina uruTe-ma-a mār šarri  ${
  m li}_{rab}\hat{u}ti^{
  m mes}\;u\;ummar{a}ni$ -šú ina  ${
  m kur}Akka[d\hat{\imath}^{
  m ki}]$ šarru ana itiNisanni ana Bābìliki úl  $illiku^{ku} \rceil$
- 24 [dNabû ana  $B\bar{a}b]ili_5$ [ki] úl illiku d $B\bar{e}l$  úl ūṣâa isinnu a-ki-tú ba-ṭil ni[qû(siskur. siskur) ina É-sag-gíl u É-zi-da]
- 25 [ $il\bar{a}ni$  meš ša  $B\bar{a}b$ ]iliki u [Bar-sip][ki ki $\check{s}al$ -mu  $nadna^{na}$ [...]

### Lacuna

#### Lacuna

- $1 \left[ \dots \right] GAZ(?) idx[\dots]$ 2 [...] še dŽštar(mùš) Urukki [...]
- $3 \ [\ldots] \ \mathbf{x}^{\text{meš}} \ \check{s} \acute{a} \ \check{m} \check{a} t \ t \check{a} [m \text{-}tim(?) \ \ldots] \dagger$
- $4 \, [\ldots]^{\text{meš}} \, ni \, [\ldots]$

- 18 [arki] šu-lit-su ù šar-ri ina libbi ibaš: 18 Afterwards the king and his garrison was in it ([...])
  - 19 The tenth year: The king (was) in Tema (while) the prince, the officers, and his army (were) in Akkad. The king [did not come to Babylon in the month Nisan].
  - 20 Nabu did not come to Babylon. Bel did not come out. The Akitu festival did not take place. The offerings
  - 21 were presented (to) the gods of Babylon and Borsippa as in normal times
  - 20 in E[sagil and Ezida].
  - 21 On the twenty-first day of the month Sivan [...]
  - 22 of Elammya in Akkad ... [...] the district governor in Uru[k ...]
  - 23 The eleventh year: the king (was) in Tema (while) the prince, the officers, and his army (were) in Akkad. [The king did not come to Babylon in the month Nisan].
  - [Nabu] did not come [to Bab]ylon. Bel did not come out. The Akitu festival did not take place. The of [ferings]
  - 25 were presented [(to) the gods of Bab]ylon and Borsippa [as in normal times
  - 24 in Esagil and Ezida].

# Lacuna

iii

#### Lacuna

- 1 [...] killed/defeated. The river ... [...]
- 2 [...] ... Ishtar Uruk [...]
- 3 [...] of the Sea[land ...]†
- 4 [...] ... [...]

### COMMENTARY

- ii 18 The last part of the line is broken but there is probably nothing missing. Cf. the note to ii 8.
- ii 19-21 See the notes to ii 5-8. In order to reproduce the full phrase as found in the parallel passages the scribe would have written a great deal on the edge at the end of ii 19. Perhaps part of the phrase was omitted. The same comment applies to ii 23.
- ii 22 The sign preceding šakin māti looks very much like Lú and thus it could be a determinative (so Smith).
- ii 23-25 See the notes to ii 5-8 and ii 19-21. iii 1 GAZ is practically certain. After it one might read id I [diqlat] (so Smith).
- iii 3 māt ta[m-tim(?)]: The traces of the sign after mat are almost certainly of the sign

- 5 [MU  $x^{kám}$  ... dN] $ab\hat{u}$  ultu Bar- $sip^{ki}$  and  $as\hat{e}^e$  [d $B\bar{e}l$  illiku $^ku$  d $B\bar{e}l$   $\bar{u}s\hat{a}^a$ ]
- 6 [... iti] Tebētu šarru ana É-tùr-kalam-ma  $\tilde{i}rub \ ina \ E(?)$ -[...]
- 7 [...] x-ut-tim magq $\tilde{t}$ tu(bala)<sup>tu</sup> kar $\tilde{a}$ ni iqqi(bala)qi tum x x x [...]
- 8 [... dB]ēl  $\bar{u}$ ṣâa isinnu a-ki-tú ki šal-mu  $\bar{i}pu\check{s}\bar{u}^{\check{s}\acute{u}}$  ina iti[x ...]
- 9 [... ilāni] meš šá Marad-daki dZa-ba,-ba, u ilānimeš šá Kiški dNin-líl x [...]
- 10 [x] [Hur]-sag-kalam-ma ana Bābiliki īru=  $b\bar{u}^{\text{meš}}$ -ni adi  $q\bar{\imath}t(\text{til})$  iti $Ul\bar{u}li$   $il\bar{a}ni^{\text{meš}}$  šá kurAkkadîki [...]
- 11 šá elān(ugu) im u šaplān(ki.ta) im ana Bābili, ki irrubūmeš ni ilānimeš šá Barsipki Kutê[ki]†
- 12 u Sip-parki úl īrubūmeš-ni ina itiTašrīti  ${}^{\mathrm{m}}Ku$ -raš sal-tum ina  $Up\hat{e}^{\mathrm{ki}}$  ina muhhi  $[\ldots]$
- 13 id I-diq-lat ana libbi ummānini kur Akkadîki  $ki \ \bar{\imath} p u \check{s} \bar{u}^{\check{s} \acute{u}}$  (erasure)  $ni \check{s} \bar{u}^{\text{meš}} \ ^{\text{kur}} A k k a d \hat{\imath}^{\text{ki}}$
- 14 BALA.KI hubta(sar) ihbut(sar) nišēmeš idūk ud xiv Sipparki ba-la sal-tum sa-bit
- 15 mdNabû-nā'id ihliq ud xvi m\[Ug\]-ba-ru  $1^{i}p\bar{a}h\bar{a}t(nam)$  kurGu-ti-um u  $umm\bar{a}ni$  meš mKu-raš ba-la sal-tum

- 5 [The seventeenth year: ... N]abu [came] from Borsippa for the procession of [Bel. Bel came out].
- 6 [... In the month] Tebet the king entered Eturkalamma. In the temple [...]
- 7 [...] ... He made a libation of wine ...  $\lceil \dots \rceil$
- 8 [... B]el came out. They performed the Akitu festival as in normal times. In the month [...]
- 9 [... the gods] of Marad, Zababa and the gods of Kish, Ninlil [and the gods of]
- 10 Hursagkalamma entered Babylon. Until the end of the month Elul the gods of Akkad [...]
- 11 which are above the ... and below the ... were entering Babylon. The gods of Borsippa, Cuthah,†
- 12 and Sippar did not enter (Babylon). In the month Tishri
- 13 when
- 12 Cyrus (II)
- 13 did
- 12 battle at Opis on the [bank of]
- 13 the Tigris against the army of Akkad, the people of Akkad
- 14 retreated. He carried off the plunder (and) slaughtered the people. On the fourteenth day Sippar was captured without a battle.
- 15 Nabonidus fled. On the sixteenth day Ugbaru, governor of the Guti, and the army of Cyrus (II)

#### COMMENTARY

- iii 5 At the beginning of the line there is room to restore: [MU xkám mdNábû-nā'id] dN abû. Cf. ii 10. Parallels to this line are found in Chron. 16:18f., 20f., 22, 23, and 27. The restoration is based on the parallels.
- iii 6 E(?): Smith is probably correct in preferring this to the older reading IT[I].
- iii 7 maqqītu(bala)<sup>tu</sup>, karāni iqqi(bala)<sup>qi</sup>: Cf. von Soden, AHw p. 607.
- iii 8 Cf. ii 6f., 11f., 20f., and 24f.
- iii 11 The sign IM is a problem. Neither the interpretation of Smith nor that of Weidner, IAK p. 101, n. 9, is acceptable.
- iii 12 At the end of the line one should

- probably restore: ina muhhi [ah(gú)].
- iii 13 The scribe mistakenly copied ummāni ...  $\bar{\imath}pu\check{s}\bar{u}^{\check{s}\acute{u}}$  twice but then erased the dittography.
- iii 14 BALA.KI: See the note to Chron. 1 i 35. hubta ihbut: For the reading see the note to Chron. 10 r. 27.
- iii 15  $m \lceil Ug \rceil ba ru$ : The sign ug is faint but the reading is certain. The same name appears in iii 22. Whether Ugbaru is identical with the Gubaru of iii 20 is uncertain. Certainly neither can be identical with Gobryas, governor of Babylonia, as Smith, BHT pp. 121f. suggested. See San Nicolò, Prosopographie pp. 54-64.

- 16 ana  $B\bar{a}bili_5^{\mathrm{ki}}$   $\bar{\imath}rub\bar{\imath}u$  arki  $^{\mathrm{md}}Nab\hat{\imath}u$ - $n\bar{a}$ 'id ki ihhisasa ina  $B\bar{a}bili_5^{\mathrm{ki}}$  ṣa-bit adi  $q\bar{\imath}t(\mathrm{til})$  arhi  $^{\mathrm{ku}}$ stuk- $^{\mathrm{s}}$ u  $^{\mathrm{me}}$
- 17 šá kurGu-ti-um bābāti meš šá Ē-sag-gil ilmû(nigin) baṭ-la šá mim-ma ina Ē-sag-gil u ēkurrāti meš diš
- 18 ul iš-šá-kin ù si-ma-nu ul innitiq(dib)<sup>iq</sup>
  itiAraḥsamnu ud inikam mKu-raš ana
  Bābili<sub>5</sub>ki irub
- 19 ha-ri-ni-e ina pāni-šú umallû(diri)<sup>meš</sup> šu-lum ana āli šá-kin <sup>m</sup>Ku-raš šu-lum ana Bābili<sup>ki</sup>
- 20 gab-bi-šú qi-bi  ${}^{\mathbf{m}}Gu$ -ba-ru  ${}^{1\acute{\mathbf{u}}}p\bar{a}\hbar ta(\mathbf{n}\,\mathbf{a}\,\mathbf{m})$ -šú  ${}^{1\acute{\mathbf{u}}}p\bar{a}\hbar (at)\bar{u}ti(\mathbf{n}\,\mathbf{a}\,\mathbf{m})$   ${}^{\mathbf{mes}}$  ina  $B\tilde{a}bili_5$   ${}^{\mathbf{k}i}$  ip-te-qid
- 21 ultu <sup>iti</sup>Kislīmi adi <sup>iti</sup>Addari ilāni<sup>meš</sup> šá kurAkkadî<sup>ki</sup> šá <sup>md</sup>Nabû-nā'id ana Bābili<sub>5</sub><sup>ki</sup> ú-še-ri-du-[ni]
- 22 a-na ma-[ha]-zi-šú-nu itūrū<sup>me</sup> itiArah: samnu mūša(gi<sub>6</sub>) ud XI<sup>kám m</sup>Ug-ba-ru mît ina it[iX]
- iii 16 kuštuk-šume: The sign is definitely šu, not ku (as Smith transliterated). On the word tukšu, which probably means shield (here "shield-bearers"—a type of soldier), see Heidel, Sumer 9 (1953), p. 181, note to v 7. It is a synonym of arītu "shield". The arītu was made of wood, metal, or leather. See von Soden, AHw pp. 68f.
- iii 17f. See R. Borger, Or. n.s. 34 (1965), p. 440 and CAD 2 (B), p. 177. prš: This is a scribal error.
- iii 19 ha-ri-ni-e: This is a hapex legomenon. Von Soden, AHw pp. 325f., takes it as a form of hirinnu which is a synonym of nādu "skin container". Without further evidence this interpretation is doubtful. CAD 6 (Ḥ), p. 102, translates "branches". Cf. Oppenheim, ANET<sup>2</sup> p. 306, n. 13.
- ii 19f. Čf. [...] u Bābilīki šu-lum-šú-nu

- 16 entered Babylon
- 15 without a battle.
- 16 Afterwards, after Nabonidus retreated, he was captured in Babylon. Until the end of the month the shield-(bearing troops)
- 17 of the Guti surrounded the gates of Esagil. (But)
- 18 there was no
- 17 interruption (of rites) in Esagil or the (other) temples
- 18 and no date (for a performance) was missed. On the third day of the month Marchesvan Cyrus (II) entered Babylon.
- 19 ... were filled before him. There was peace in the city while Cyrus (II)
- 20 spoke
- 19 (his) greeting to
- 20 all of
- 19 Babylon.
- 20 Gubaru, his district officer, appointed the district officers in Babylon.
- 21 From the month Kislev to the month Adar the gods of Akkad which Nabonidus had brought down to Babylon
- 22 returned to their places. On the night of the eleventh of the month Marchesvan Ugbaru died. In the month [...]
  - iq-ta-bi Smith, BHT pl. X vi 2 (Nabonidus Verse Account). Although the line is broken this phrase must also refer to Cyrus.
- iii 20 mGu-ba-ru: See the note to iii 15. 

  \[
  \text{lupa\barba}ta-\sec{su} \text{lupa\barba}(at)\barba ti \text{mes} \cdots \text{ip-te-qid}: \\
  \text{Cf. San Nicolò, Prosopographie pp. 59f.} \\
  \text{Another possible interpretation is to read: } \\
  \text{lupa\barba}t \text{kissat(su)} \text{pa\barba}(at)\barba ti \text{mes} \cdots \cdots \text{ip-te-qid} \text{"He (Cyrus) appointed Gubaru governor of all the governors (in Babylon)". } \\
  \text{For pa\barba}(at)\barba ti \text{see Borger, Asarh. p. 49, } \\
  \text{note to line 13.}
- iii 21f. The entry of these gods into Babylon was narrated in iii 9-11.
- iii 21 <sup>iti</sup>Addaru(še): The sign is quite clearly šE on the original although Smith's copy does not show šE.
- iii 22 <sup>m</sup>Ug-ba-ru: See the note to iii 15.